# Using ND Measurements to Improve Expected FD Event Rate

Brett Viren

Physics Department



Local MINOS Meeting

# Outline

1 The NuMI-B-781 Method

- 2 The Matrix (Method) Reloaded
  - Some Formalism
  - English, Please



### Basic Idea

- Recognize that neutrinos in the ND and FD come from the same hadron decays.
- Correlate ND and FD event spectra
- Apply correlation to measured ND spectrum
- In principle, reduces beam related uncertainties (hadron production, target/horn geometries)





## Method as I understand it

1) For each GNUMI neutrino, fill the 2D histogram bin holding  $E_{\nu}^f, E_{\nu}^n$  weighted by:

$$M_{E_{\nu}^{n},E_{\nu}^{f}} = \int_{E_{\nu}^{n}}^{E_{\nu}^{n}+\Delta E_{\nu}} dE_{\nu}^{FD} \int_{E_{\nu}^{f}}^{E_{\nu}^{f}+\Delta E_{\nu}} dE_{\nu}^{ND} \frac{W_{h}^{FD}(\vec{r},\vec{p},E_{\nu}^{FD})\sigma_{cc}(E_{\nu}^{FD})}{W_{h}^{ND}(\vec{r},\vec{p},E_{\nu}^{ND})\sigma_{cc}(E_{\nu}^{ND})}$$
(1)

- *h* Hadron  $(\pi \text{ or } k)$
- $\vec{r}, \vec{p}$  hadron decay parameters
  - ${\it W}$  probability for decay to produce neutrino at ND/FD detector with

The NuMI note states that one should form a ratio of integrals, not an integral of ratios. I think this is just a LATEX-o.



# Method as I understand it, continued

- 2) Measure reconstructed  $\nu_{\mu}$  CC "like" energy spectrum in the ND, binned to match the 2D matrix just formed:  $N_{E_{reco}}^{ND, exp}$
- 3) Multiply to get expected FD  $E_{reco}$  spectrum.

$$N_{E_{reco}}^{FD,exp} = M_{E_{\nu}^{n},E_{\nu}^{f}} N_{E_{reco}}^{ND,exp}$$
 (2)





## Perceived Problems with this Method

- Ad-hoc, or at best, not fully described/understood.
- Applies  $E_{\nu}$  matrix to  $E_{reco}$  vector.
- Ignores:
  - Detector response
  - Reconstructed energy resolution
- $\nu_{\mu}$  CC (or at least single-interaction) specific. Want to apply to beam-related  $\nu_{e}$  background which has multiple sources.

Now, try to get this....





## What GNUMI does

From NuMI-B-781, neutrino energy distribution at  $i^{th}$  detector due to hadron type h.

$$\Phi_{i}(E_{\nu}) = \int F_{h}(\vec{h_{0}}, \vec{p}) P_{h}(\vec{h_{0}}, \vec{p}, \vec{r}) W_{h}(\vec{h_{0}}, \vec{p}, \vec{r}; E_{\nu}) dh_{0} d\vec{p} d\vec{r}$$
(3)

#### Parameters:

 $\vec{h_0}$  Initial hadron location and direction just after last horn.

 $\vec{p}, \vec{r}$  Hadron momentum, location at decay point

#### Functions:

 $F_h$  Hadron distribution just after last horn

 $P_h$  Probability initial hadron will decay at  $\vec{r}$  and  $\vec{p}$ 

 $W_h$  Probability this hadron will produce  $\nu$  with  $E_{\nu}$  at  $i^{th}$  detector.



4□ > 4□ > 4 = > 4 = > = 900

## Matricize

Integrate over nuisance  $\vec{h_0}$  and small bins of  $E_{\nu}$  and  $\vec{h} = (\vec{p}, \vec{r})$  to give a matrix form:

$$\vec{\Phi}_{i,E_{\nu}} = T_{hi}\vec{H} \tag{4}$$

Where,

 $\vec{H}$  is a multi-rank vector holding the binned distribution of parent hadrons over the space  $\vec{h}$ 

 $T_{hi}$  is a transfer matrix that takes  $\vec{H}$  to:

 $\vec{\Phi}_{i,E_{\nu}}$  is the binned  $\nu$  flux spectrum at the  $i^{th}$  detector.

#### Note:

- ullet is independent from what detector. This is the correlation we want to exploit.
- T<sub>hi</sub> is simply analytical.
- There is actually one such equation per parent hadron and neutrino type.



2005/11/30

# What Everything Else Does

Model Interaction + Detector + Reconstruction + Cuts as

$$M_{E_{reco}, E_{\nu}}^{\nu, \sigma, c, i, s} \tag{5}$$

In general one *M* for each:

- u neutrino type
- $\sigma$  interaction type
- c Reconstruction classification (signal or background)
- i Detector (near or far)
- s Data source (real data, simulated MC)

Binned event spectrum at the  $i^{th}$  detector:

$$\vec{N}_{E_{reco}}^{i,s,c} = \sum M_{E_{reco},E_{\nu}}^{\nu,\sigma,c,i,s} \vec{\Phi}_{i,E_{\nu}} \tag{6}$$



|ロト 4回 ト 4 E ト 4 E ト 9 Q C・

## The Formal Method

(For simplicity, consider one  $\nu, \sigma$  and c.)

Predict ND reconstructed neutrino energy spectrum:

$$\vec{N}_{E_{reco}}^{n,MC} = M_{E_{reco},E_{\nu}}^{n,MC} \vec{\Phi}_{n,E_{\nu}} \tag{7}$$

② Assert  $\vec{N}_{E_{reco}}^{n,data} \equiv \vec{N}_{E_{reco}}^{n,MC}$  and claim to measure flux at ND and recall flux comes from decaying hadrons:

$$\vec{\Phi}_{n,E_{\nu}}^{meas} = \left(M_{E_{reco},E_{\nu}}^{n,MC}\right)^{-1} \vec{N}_{E_{reco}}^{n,data} = T_{hn}\vec{H}$$
 (8)

ullet Solve for  $ec{H}$  (exploit the corelation!) and claim to measure far flux

$$\vec{\Phi}_{f,E_{\nu}}^{meas} = T_{hf} T_{hn}^{-1} \left( M_{E_{reco},E_{\nu}}^{n,MC} \right)^{-1} \vec{N}_{E_{reco}}^{n,data} \tag{9}$$



<ロ > ∢母 > ∢差 > ∢差 > 差 め < 0

## Some Obvious Caveats

- Asserting  $\vec{N}_{E_{reco}}^{n,data} \equiv \vec{N}_{E_{reco}}^{n,MC}$  to measure the ND flux trusts that our MC is good and our reco is same between MC and data! How to estimate systematics here?
- Need to have a  $\vec{\Phi}_{f,E_{
  u}}^{\textit{meas}}$  for each parent hadron type, neutrino type.
- Still need to get to  $\vec{N}_{E_{reco}}^{f,meas}$ . Probably just re-weight reconstructed MC events via  $\vec{\Phi}_{f,E_{\nu}}^{meas}/\vec{\Phi}_{f,E_{\nu}}^{MC}$ .





# How to actually do this?

$$\vec{\Phi}_{f,E_{\nu}}^{meas} = T_{hf} T_{hn}^{-1} \left( M_{E_{reco},E_{\nu}}^{n,MC} \right)^{-1} \vec{N}_{E_{reco}}^{n,data}$$
 (10)

 $T_{hf}$ : The transport matrix is exactly calculable. It is only a little ungainly being such a high rank matrix.

 $T_{hn}^{-1}$ : Ditto. Inverting might prove tricky?

 $\left(M_{E_{reco},E_{\nu}}^{n,MC}\right)^{-1}$ : Simple, fill a 2D histogram with reconstructed ND GMINOS events.

 $\vec{N}_{E_{reco}}^{n,data}$  Even easier, fill 1D histogram from reconstructed ND data.



ㅁㅏㅓ@ㅏㅓㅌㅏㅓㅌㅏ . 횽 . 쒸٩@